```
ALGORITHM 16
CROUT WITH PIVOTING
GEORGE E. FORSYTHE
Stanford University, Stanford, California
real procedure INNERPRODUCT(u,v) index : (k) start : (s)
                 finish: (f);
    value s, f; integer k, s, f; real u, v;
               INNERPRODUCT forms the sum of u(k) \times
comment
                 v(k) for k = s, s+1, ..., f. If s > f, the value
                 of INNERPRODUCT is zero. The substitution
                 of a very accurate inner product procedure
                 would make CROUT more accurate;
begin
    real h;
    h := 0; for k := s step 1 until f do h := h + u \times v;
    INNERPRODUCT := h
end INNERPRODUCT;
                CROUT (A, b, n, y, pivot, INNERPRODUCT);
procedure
    value n; array A, b, y, pivot; integer n, pivot;
    real procedure INNERPRODUCT;
               This is Crout's method with row interchanges, as
comment
                 formulated in reference [1], for solving Ay = b
                 and transforming the augmented matrix [A b]
                 into its triangular decomposition LU with all
                 L[k, k] = 1. If A is singular we exit to 'singular,'
                 a non-local label. pivot[k] becomes the current
                 row index of the pivot element in the k-th
                 column. Thus enough information is preserved
                 for the procedure SOLVE to process a new
                 right-hand side without repeating CROUT.
                 The accuracy obtainable from CROUT would
                 be much increased by calling CROUT with a
                 more accurate inner product procedure than
                 INNERPRODUCT;
begin
    integer k, i, j, imax, p; real TEMP, quot;
    for k := 1 step 1 until n do
1: begin
       \text{TEMP} := 0;
        for i := k step l until n do
2:
     begin
         A[i, k] := A[i, k] - INNERPRODUCT(A[i,p], A[p, k],
           p, 1, k-1);
         if abs(A[i, k]) > TEMP then
3:
         TEMP := abs(A[i, k]); imax := i
         end 3
     end 2:
     pivot[k] := imax;
      comment We have found that A[imax, k] is the largest
       pivot in column k. Now we interchange rows k and imax;
     if imax \neq k then
4:
     begin for j := 1 step 1 until n do
        TEMP := A[k,j]; A[k, j] := A[imax,j];
         A[imax,j] := TEMP
        TEMP := b[k]; b[k] := b[imax]; b[imax] := TEMP
```

```
end 4;
     comment The row interchange is done. We proceed to the
                   elimination;
         if A[k, k] = 0 then go to singular;
         for i := k+1 step 1 until n do
         \mathbf{begin} \ \mathrm{quot} \ := \ 1.0/A[k, \ k]; \quad A[i, \ k] \ := \ \mathrm{quot} \ \times \ A[i, \ k]
           end;
         for j := k+1 step 1 until n do
             A[k, j] := A[k,j] - INNERPRODUCT(A[k, p],
               A[p,j], p, 1, k-1);
              b[k] := b[k] - INNERPRODUCT(A[k,p], b[p], p,
               1, k-1)
     end 1:
    comment The triangular decomposition is now finished.
                 and we do the back substitution;
    for k := n \text{ step } -1 \text{ until } 1 \text{ do}
       y[k] := (b[k] - INNERPRODUCT(A[k,p], \dot{y}[p], p,
         k{+}1,\;n)/A[k,\;k]
end CROUT;
procedure SOLVE (B, c, n, z, pivot, INNERPRODUCT);
    value n; array B, c, z, pivot; integer n, pivot;
    real procedure INNERPRODUCT;
comment SOLVE assumes that a matrix A has already been
              transformed into B by CROUT, but that a new
              column c has not been processed. SOLVE solves the
              system Az = c, and the output z of SOLVE is pre-
              cisely the same as the output y of the procedure
              statement CROUT (A, c, n, y, pivot, INNER-
              PRODUCT). However, SOLVE is faster, because
              it does not repeat the triangularization of A;
begin
    integer k; real TEMP;
    for k := 1 step 1 until n do
    begin
        TEMP := c[pivot[k]]; c[pivot[k]] := c[k]; c[k] :=
          TEMP; c[k] := c[k] - INNERPRODUCT(B[k, p],
          e[p], p 1, k - 1)
    end;
    for k := n \text{ step } -1 \text{ until } 1 \text{ do}
        z[k] := (e[k] - INNERPRODUCT(B[k,p], z[p], p,
```

REFERENCE

k+1, n)/B[k, k]

end SOLVE

[1] J. H. WILKINSON, theory and practice in linear systems, pp. 43-100 of John W. Carr III (editor), Application of Advanced Numerical Analysis to Digital Computers, (Lectures given at the University of Michigan, Summer 1958, College of Engineering, Engineering Summer Conferences, Ann Arbor, Michigan [1959]).

REMARK ON ALGORITHM 16

CROUT WITH PIVOTING (G. Forsythe, Communica-

tions ACM, September, 1960)

GEORGE E. FORSYTHE

Stanford University, Stanford, California

QUERY

Perhaps the most basic procedure for an ALGOL library of matrix programs is an inner product procedure. The procedure Innerproduct given on page 311 of [1] is fairly difficult to comprehend, and probably poses great difficulties for most translating routines. I merely copied its form in writing a modified inner product routine for [2].

My query is: How should one write an inner product procedure in ALGOL?

REFERENCES

- Peter Naur (editor), J. W. Backus, et al., Report on the algorithmic language ALGOL 60, Comm. Assoc. Comp. Mach. 3 (1960), 299-314.
- GEORGE E. FORSYTHE, CROUT with pivoting in ALGOL 60, Comm. Assoc. Comp. Mach. 3 (1960), 507-508.

REMARK ON ALGORITHM 16

CROUT WITH PIVOTING (G. E. Forsythe, Comm.

ACM, 3 (Sept. 1960), 507-8.)

Henry C. Thacher, Jr.,* Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois

This procedure contains the following errors:

a. In SOLVE, the expression

c[k] := c[k] - INNERPRODUCT

(B[k, p], c[p], p 1, k - 1)

should read:

c[k] := c[k] - INNERPRODUCT

(B[k, p], e[p], p, 1, k - 1)

b. In CROUT, the specification part should read:

array A, b, y ; integer n ; integer array pivot ;

c. In SOLVE, the specification part should read:

array B, c, z ; integer n ; integer array pivot ; The efficiency of the algorithm will be improved by the following changes:

a. In the elimination phase of CROUT, replace

for i := k + 1 step 1 until n do

 $\label{eq:begin} \begin{array}{ll} \textbf{begin} \ \mathrm{quote} := 1.0/\mathrm{A[k,k]} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{A[i,k]} := \mathrm{quot} \ \mathrm{XA[i,k]} \ \textbf{end} \quad ; \\ \mathrm{by} \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{lll} quot := 1.0/A[k,\,k] & ; & \textbf{for} \ i := k+1 \ \textbf{step} \ 1 \ \textbf{until} \ n \ \textbf{do} \\ A[i,\,k] := quot \ XA[i,\,k] & ; & \end{array}$

b. Omit INNERPRODUCT from the formal parameter list in both CROUT and SOLVE, and declare INNERPRODUCT either locally, or globally. This avoids any reference to INNER-PRODUCT in the calling sequence produced by a compiler.

It is also to be noted that a minor modification of CROUT allows it to be used to evaluate the determinant of A.

All of these suggestions are included in a later algorithm.

^{*} Work supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.