Algorithms

ALGORITHM 41
EVALUATION OF DETERMINANT

JOSEF G. SOLOMON
RCA Digital Computation and Simulation Group, Moorestown, New Jersey

```
real procedure  Determinant (A,n);
real array      A; integer n;
comment This procedure evaluates a determinant by triangularization;
begin real      Product, Factor, Temp; array B[1 : n, 1 : n],
                  C[1 : n, 1 : n];
integer         Count, Sign, i, j, r, y;
                Sign := 1; Product := 1;
for             i := 1 step 1 until n do for j := 1 step 1 until
                  n do
begin           B[i,j] := A[i,j]; C[i,j] := A[i,j] end;
for             r := 1 step 1 until n−1 do
begin           Count := r−1;
    zerocheck:  if B[r,r] ≠ 0 then go to resume;
                if Count < n−1 then Count := Count + 1
                else go to zero;
for             y := r step 1 until n do
begin           Temp := B[Count+1,y]; B[Count+1,y] :=
                  B[Count,y]; B[Count,y] := Temp end;
                Sign := − Sign; go to zerocheck;
        zero:   Determinant := 0; go to return;
      resume:   for i := r+1 step 1 until n do
begin           Factor := C[i,r] / C[r,r];
                for j := r+1 step 1 until n do
begin           B[i,j] := B[i,j] − Factor × C [r,j] end end;
for             i := r+1 step 1 until n do
                for j := r+1 step 1 until n do C[i,j] := B[i,j]
                  end;
for             i := 1 step 1 until n do Product := Product
                  × B[i,i]; Determinant := Sign × Product;
      return:   end
```

Contributions to this department must be in the form stated in the Algorithms Department policy statement (*Communications*, February, 1960) except that ALGOL 60 notation should be used (see *Communications*, May, 1960). Contributions should be sent in duplicate to J. H. Wegstein, Computation Laboratory, National Bureau of Standards, Washington 25, D. C. Algorithms should be in the Publication form of ALGOL 60 and written in a style patterned after the most recent algorithms appearing in this department.

Although each algorithm has been tested by its contributor, no warranty, express or implied, is made by the contributor, the editor, or the Association for Computing Machinery as to the accuracy and functioning of the algorithm and related algorithm material and no responsibility is assumed by the contributor, the editor, or the Association for Computing Machinery in connection therewith.

ALGORITHM 42
INVERT

T. C. WOOD
RCA Digital Computation and Simulation Group, Moorestown, New Jersey

```
procedure  Invert (A) order: (n) Singular: (s) Inverse: (A1);
             array A, A1; integer n,s, value n;
comment This procedure inverts the square matrix A of order
  n by applying a series of elementary row operation to the matrix
  to reduce it to the identity matrix. These operations when
  applied to the identity matrix yield the inverse A1. The case
  of a singular matrix is indicated by the value s := 1;
begin      comment augment matrix A with identity matrix;
           array a[1:n, 1:2 × n]; integer i,j;
           for i := 1 step 1 until n do
           for j := 1 step 1 until 2 × n do
           if j ≦ n then a[i,j] := A[i,j] else
           if j = n+1 then a[i, j] := 1.0 else a [i,j] := 0.0;
           comment begin inversion;
           for i := 1 step 1 until n do
begin      integer k, ℓ, ind; j := ℓ := i; ind := s := 0;
      L1:  if a[ℓ,j] = 0 then
           begin ind := 1; if ℓ < n then begin ℓ := ℓ + 1;
           go to L1 end
                else begin s := 1; go to L2 end
              end;
           if ind = 1 then for k := 1 step 1 until 2 × n do
           begin real temp;
                 temp := a[ℓ,k];
                 a[ℓ,k] := a [i,k];
                 a[i,k] := temp end k loop;
           for k := j step 1 until 2 × n do
               a[i,k] := a[i,k] / a[i,j];
           for ℓ := 1 step 1 until n do
           if ℓ ≠ i then for k := 1 step 1 until 2 × n do
               a[ℓ,k] := a[ℓ,k] − a[i,k] × a[ℓ,j];
           end i loop;
           for i := 1 step 1 until n do
           for j := 1 step 1 until n do
               A1[i,j] := a[i,n+j];
      L2:  end of procedure
```

ALGORITHM 43
CROUT WITH PIVOTING II

HENRY C. THACHER, JR.*
Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois

```
real procedure INNERPRODUCT (u,v) index : (k) start : (s)
    finish : (f);
value s, f; integer k, s, f; real u, v;
comment INNERPRODUCT forms the sum of u(k) × v(k) for
  k = s, s+1, . . . , f. If s > f, the value of INNERPRODUCT is
  zero. The substitution of a very accurate inner product procedure would make CROUT more accurate;
comment INNERPRODUCT may be declared in the head of
  any block which includes the block in which CROUT is declared. It may be used independently for forming the inner
  product of vectors;
begin
        real h;
        h := 0; for k := s step 1 until f do h := h+u × v;
        INNERPRODUCT := h
end     INNERPRODUCT;
```

```
procedure CROUT II (A, b, n, y, pivot, det, repeat)
comment This procedure is a revision of Algorithm 16, Crout
  With Pivoting by George E. Forsythe, Comm. ACM 3, (1960)
  507-8. In addition to modifications to improve the running of
  the program, and to conform to proper usage, it provides for
  the computation of the determinant, det, of the matrix A. The
  solution is obtained by Crout's method with row interchanges,
  as formulated in reference [1], for solving Ay = b and transform-
  ing the augmented matrix [A b] into its triangular decomposi-
  tion LU with all L(k,k) = 1. If A is singular we exit to 'singular,'
  a nonlocal label. pivot (k) becomes the current row index of
  the pivot element in the k-th column. Thus enough information
  is preserved for the procedure to process a new right-hand
  side without repeating the triangularization, if the boolean pa-
  rameter repeat is true. The accuracy obtainable from CROUT
  would be much increased by calling CROUT with a more accu-
  rate inner product procedure than INNERPRODUCT.
    The contributions of Michael F. Lipp and George E. Forsythe
  by prepublication review and pointing out several errors are
  gratefully acknowledged;
comment Nonlocal identifiers appearing in this procedure are:
  (1) The nonlocal label 'singular', to which the procedure exits
  if det A=0, and (2) the real procedure 'INNERPRODUCT'
  given above;
      value n; array A, b, y; integer n; integer array
        pivot; real det; Boolean repeat;
begin
      integer k, i, j, imax, p; real TEMP, quot;
      det := 1; if repeat then go to 6;
      for k := 1 step 1 until n do
1:    begin
        TEMP := 0;
        for i := k step 1 until n do
2:    begin
        A[i,k] := A[i,k] - INNERPRODUCT (A[i,p], A[p,k],
          p, 1, k-1);
        if abs(A[i,k]) > TEMP then
3:    begin
        TEMP := abs(A[i, k]); imax := i
        end 3
      end 2;
      pivot [k] := imax;
comment We have found that A[imax, k] is the largest pivot in
  column k. Now we interchange rows k and imax;
      if imax ≠ k then
4:    begin det := - det; for j := 1 step 1 until n do
5:    begin
        TEMP := A[k,j]; A[k,j] := A[imax, j]; A[imax, j]
          := TEMP
      end 5;
      TEMP := b[k]; b[k] := b[imax]; b[imax] := TEMP
      end 4;
      comment The row interchange is done. We proceed
        to the elimination;
        if A[k,k] = 0 then go to singular;
        quot := 1.0/A[k,k];
        for i := k+1 step 1 until n do
        A[i,k] := quot × A[i,k];
        for j := k+1 step 1 until n do
          A[k,j] := A[k,j] - INNERPRODUCT (A[k,p],
            A[p,j], p, 1, k-1);
          b[k] := b[k] - INNERPRODUCT (A[k,p], b[p],
            p, i, k-1)
        end 1; go to 7;
      comment The triangular decomposition is now finished,
        and we skip to the back substitution;
6:    begin comment This section is used when the formal
      parameter repeat is true, indicating that the matrix A
      has previously been decomposed into triangular form by
      CROUT II, with row interchanges specified by pivot,
      and that it is desired to solve the linear system with a
      new vector b, without repeating the triangularization;
        for k := 1 step 1 until n do
        begin
          TEMP := b[pivot[k]]; b[pivot[k]] := b[k]; b[k] :=
            TEMP; b[k] := b[k] - INNERPRODUCT
            (A[k, p], b[p], p, 1, k-1) end;
      end 6;
7:    for k := n step - 1 until 1 do
8:    begin if ¬ repeat then det := A[k,k] × det;
      y[k] := (b[k] - INNERPRODUCT (A[k,p], y[p], p,
        k+1, n)/A[k,k]
      end 8;
end CROUT II;
```

REFERENCE:

(1) J. H. WILKINSON, Theory and practice in linear systems. In John W. Carr III (editor), Application of Advanced Numerical Analysis to Digital Computers, pp. 43-100 (Lectures given at the University of Michigan, Summer 1958, College of Engineering, Engineering Summer Conferences, Ann Arbor, Michigan [1959]).

---

* Work supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

ALGORITHM 44
BESSEL FUNCTIONS COMPUTED RECURSIVELY

MARIA E. WOJCICKI

RCA Digital Computation and Simulation Group, Moorestown, New Jersey


```
procedure Bessfr(N, FX, LX, Z) Result: (J, Y);
      value LX, FX, N;
      real FX, LX, Z; real array J, Y; integer N;
comment Bessel Functions of the first and second kind, JP(X)
  and YP(X), integral order P, are computed by recursion for
  values of X, FX ≦ X ≦ LX, in steps of Z. The functions are
  computed for values of P, 0 ≦ P ≦ N. M[SUB], the initial
  value of P being chosen according to formulae in Erdelyi's
  Asymptotic Expansions. The computed values of JP(X) and
  YP(X) are stored as column vectors for constant argument in
  matrices J, Y of dimension (N+1) by entier ((LX - FX)/Z + 1);
begin real PI, X, GAMMA, PAR, LAMDA, SUM, SUM1;
      integer P, SUB, MAXSUB;
              PI := 3.14159265;
              GAMMA := .57721566;
              PAR := 63.0 - 1.5 × ℓn (2 × PI);
              MAXSUB := entier ((LX - FX)/Z);
begin real array JHAT [0:N, 0:MAXSUB];
      integer array M[0:MAXSUB];
            SUB := 0;
          for X := FX step Z until LX do
begin if (X > 0) ∧ (X < 10) then M [SUB] := 2 × entier (X) + 9
  else
begin real ALOG;
        ALOG := (PAR - 1.5 × ℓn (X))/X;
        M [SUB] := entier (X × (exp (ALOG) + exp
          (-ALOG))/2) end;
        if N > M [SUB] then
begin for P := M [SUB] + 1 step 1 until N do
        J [P, SUB] := 0 end;
        JHAT [M [SUB], SUB] := 10 ↑ (-9);
comment Having set the uppermost ĴP(X) to a very small
  number we are now going to compute all the ĴP(X) down to
```

```
P = 0;
    for P := M [SUB] step −1 until 1 do
    JHAT [P−1, SUB] := 2 × P/X × JHAT [P, SUB] −JHAT
      [P+1, SUB];
    SUM := SUM1 := 0;
    for P := 2 step 2 until (M [SUB] ÷ 2) do
    SUM := SUM + JHAT [P, SUB];
    LAMDA := JHAT [0, SUB] + 2 × SUM;
    for P := 0 step 1 until N do
    J [P, SUB] := JHAT [P, SUB] /LAMDA;
comment  JP(X) have been computed by use of ĴP(X);
    for P := 2 step 2 until (M [SUB] ÷ 2) do
    SUM1 := SUM1 + (−1) × (−1) ↑ P ÷ J [2 × P, SUB]
      /2/P;
    Y [0, SUB] := 2/PI × (J [0, SUB] × (GAMMA + ℓn(X/2))
      + 4 × SUM1);
    for P := 0 step 1 until (M[SUB]−1) do
    Y [P+1, SUB] := (−2/PI/P + J [P+1, SUB] × Y [P,
      SUB])/J [P, SUB];
    SUB := SUB + 1 end end end
```

ALGORITHM 45
INTEREST

PETER Z. INGERMAN
University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pa.

```
procedure  monpay (i, B, L, t, k, m, tol, goof)
comment  This procedure calculates the periodic payment
  necessary to retire a loan when the interest rate on the loan
  varies (possibly from period to period) as a function of the as-
  yet-unpaid principal.
    The formal parameters are: i, array identifier for the vector
  of interest rates; −B, array identifier for the minimum amounts
  at which the corresponding i applies; −L, the amount to be
  borrowed; −t, the number of periods for which the loan is to
  be taken out; −k, the number of different interest rates (and
  upper limit for vectors i and B); −m, the desired periodic pay-
  ment; −tol, the allowable deviation of m from some ideal;
  and goof, the error exit to use if convergence fails. The only
  output parameter is m. For further discussion, see Comm.
  ACM 3 (Oct. 1960), 542;
 begin array h, S [1:k, 1:t], M, X [1:k];
 integer array T, a, b [1:k];
 integer p, q, r, sa, sb, I, ib, mb, nb;
 comment  This section sets up the procedure;
 for p := 1 step 1 until k do
 begin for q := 1 step 1 until t do
     begin h_{p,q} := i_p^q;
           S_{p,q} := (h_{p,q} − 1)/(i_p − 1) end;
     if p = 1 then X_p := 0 else X_p := B_p × (i_{p−1} − i_p);
     M_p := L × (h_{p,t}/S_{p,t}) end;
 sa := sb := ib := mb := 0; nb := t;
 for p := 1 step 1 until k do
 begin a_p := entier (B_{p+1}/M_{p+1} + 0.5) − sa;
       sa := sa + a_p;
       T_p := b_p := entier (B_{p+1}/M_p − 0.5) − sb;
       sb := sb + b_p;
       if b_p > mb then
       begin ib := p;  nb := nb − mb;  mb := bp end
       else nb := nb − b_p end;
 T_{ib} := nb;
 I := 1;
 for p := 1 step 1 until k do
     I := I × (a_p − b_p + 1);
 comment  Having counted the number of possible iterations
   and established a set of trial values for the T_n's, a trial m is
   found;
 D := 1;  E := F := 0;
newm: for p := 1 step 1 until k do
       begin D := D × h_{p,Tp};
             u := 1;
             if p ≠ 1 then for q := 1 step 1 until p − 1
               do u := u × h_{q,Tq};
             E := E + S_{p,Tp} × u;
             v := 0;
             if p ≠ 1 then for r := 1 step 1 until p
               do v := v + X_r;
             F := F + u × v end;
       m := (L × D + F)/E;
       comment  Now find out whether m is good enough
       q := 1;  F := D := 0;
       for p := 1 step 1 until t do
       begin get F: F  := (D + m − E)/(1 + i_q);
             if B_{q+1} ≧ F then D := F else q := q + 1;
             if D ≠ F go to get F end;
             if abs (D − L) ≦ tol then go to exit;
       comment  If not within tolerance, adjust T_n's and try
         again;
       p := 0;
       redo: p := p + 1;
           if p ≠ ib then
           begin if T_p ≧ a_p then
                 begin T_{ib} := T_{ib} + T_p − b_p
                       T_p := b_p end end
           else begin
               T_p := T_p + 1;
               T_{ib} := T_{ib} − 1;
               p := k end;
           if p = k then I := I − 1 else go to redo;
           go to if I > 0 then newm else goof;
exit: end monpay;
```

ALGORITHM 46
EXPONENTIAL OF A COMPLEX NUMBER

JOHN R. HERNDON
Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, California

```
procedure  EXPC (a, b, c, d);  value a, b;  real a, b, c, d;
comment  This procedure computes the number, c+di, which
  is equal to e^(a+bi);
begin  c := exp (a);
       d := c × sin (b);
       c := c × cos (b)
end EXPC;
```

ALGORITHM 47
ASSOCIATED LEGENDRE FUNCTIONS OF THE FIRST KIND FOR REAL OR IMAGINARY ARGUMENTS

JOHN R. HERNDON
Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, California

```
procedure  LEGENDREA (m, n, x, r);  value m, n, x, r;
           integer m, n;  real x, r;
comment  This procedure computes any P_n^m(x) or P_n^m(ix) for
  n an integer less than 20 and m an integer no larger than n.
  The upper limit of 20 was taken because (42)! is larger than
  10^49. Using a modification of this procedure values up to n=35
  have been calculated. If P_n^m(x) is desired, r is set to zero. If
  r is nonzero, P_n^m(ix) is computed;
```

```
begin
        integer i, j;  array Gamma [1:41];
        real p, z, w, y;
        if n = 0 then
          begin p := 1;
          go to gate end;
        if n < m then
          begin p := 0;
          go to gate end;
        z := 1; w := z;
        if n=m then go to main;
        for i := 1 step 1 until n−m do
          z := x × z;
  main: Gamma [1] := 1;
        for i := 2 step 1 until n+n+1 do
          begin Gamma [i] := w × Gamma [i−1];
          w := w+1 end;
        w := 1;  y := w/(x × x);
        if r=0 then
          begin y := −y;
          w := −w end;
        if x=0 then
          begin i := (n−m)/2;
          if (i+i) ≠ (n−m) then
            begin p := 0;
            go to gate end;
          p := Gamma [m+n+1]/(Gamma [i+1] × Gamma
            [m+i+1]);
          go to last end;
        j := 3;  p := 0;
        for i := 1 step 1 until 12 do
          begin if (n−m+2)/2 < i then go to last end;
          p := p + Gamma [n+n−i−i+3] × z/(Gamma
            [i] × Gamma [n−i+2] × Gamma [n−i−i−
            m+j]);
          z := z × y end;
   last: z := 1;
        for i := step 1 until n do
          z := z+z;
        p := p/z;
        if r ≠ 0 then
          begin i := n−n/4;
          if 1 < i then
            p := −p end;
        if m = 0 then go to gate;
        j := m/2;  z := abs(w+x × x);
        if m ≠ (j+j) then
          begin z := sqrt (z);
          j := m end;
        for i := step 1 until j do
          p := p × z;
   gate: LEGENDREA := p
end LEGENDREA;
```

ALGORITHM 48
LOGARITHM OF A COMPLEX NUMBER

JOHN R. HERNDON
Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, California

```
procedure LOGC(a, b, c, d);  value a, b;  real a, b, c, d;
comment This procedure computes the number, c+di, which
  is equal to log_e(a+bi);
begin  c := sqrt (a × a + b × b);
       d := arctan (b/a);
       c := log (c);
       if a < 0 then d := d+3.1415927
end LOGC;
```

ALGORITHM 49
SPHERICAL NEUMANN FUNCTION

JOHN R. HERNDON
Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, California

```
real procedure SPHBEN (r,x);  value r,x;  real r,x;
comment This procedure computes the spherical Neumann
  function (π/2x)^½ N_{r+1/2}(x). Infinity is represented by 10^47;
begin  real z, g, t;
       if x=0 then
         begin s := 10 ↑ 47;
           go to gate
         end;
       s := −cos (x)/x;
       if r = 0 then
           go to gate;
       t := sin (x)/x;
       for g := 1 step 1 until r do
         begin z := s;
           s := s × (g+g−1)/(x−t);
           t := z
         end;
gate:  SPHBEN := s
end SPHBEN;
```

ALGORITHM 50
INVERSE OF A FINITE SEGMENT OF THE HILBERT MATRIX

JOHN R. HERNDON
Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, California

```
procedure  INVHILBERT (n,S);  value n;  real n;
           real array S;
comment This procedure computes the elements of the inverse
  of an n × n finite segment of the Hilbert matrix and stores them
  in the array S;
begin      real i, j, k;
           S[1, 1] = n × n;
           for i := 2 step 1 until n do
             begin
               S[i, i] := (n+i−1) × (n−i+1)/((i−1) × (i−1));
               S[i, i] := S[i−1, i−1] × S[i, i] × S[i, i]
             end;
           for i := 1 step 1 until n−1 do
             begin
               for j := i+1 step 1 until n do
                 begin
                   k := j−1;
                   S[i, j] := −S[i, k] × (n+k) × (n−k)/(k × k)
                 end
             end;
           for i := 2 step 1 until n do
             begin S[i, i] := S[i, i]/(i+i−1);
               for j := 1 step 1 until i−1 do
             begin S[j, i] := S(j, 1]/(i+j−1);
                   S[i, j] := S[j, i]
             end
           end
end INVHILBERT;
```

ALGORITHM 51
ADJUST INVERSE OF A MATRIX WHEN AN ELEMENT IS PERTURBED

JOHN R. HERNDON
Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, California

```
procedure  ADJUST (n, d, i, j, A, B); value i, j, n, d;
           integer i, j, n; real d; real array A, B;
comment  If the n × n matrix A=M⁻¹ and a change, d, is made
  in the i, j-th element of M this procedure will calculate the
  corrected matrix for M⁻¹ by adjusting matrix A. The adjusted
  matrix is stored in B;
begin      integer r, s;
           real t;
           t := d/(A[j, i] × d+1);
           for r := 1 step 1 until n do
             begin for s := 1 step 1 until n do
               B[r, s] = A[r, s] − t × A[r, i] × Aj, s] end
end ADJUST
```

ALGORITHM 52
A SET OF TEST MATRICES

JOHN R. HERNDON
Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, California

```
procedure  TESTMATRIX (n,A); value n; integer n;
           real array A;
comment  This procedure places in A an n × n matrix whose
  inverse and eigenvalues are known. The n-th row and the n-th
  column of the inverse are the set: 1, 2, 3, . . . , n. The matrix
  formed by deleting the n-th row and the n-th column of the
  inverse is the identity matrix of order n−1;
begin      integer i, j;
           real t, c, d, f;
           c := t × (t+1) × (t+t−5)/6;
           d := 1/c;
           A[n, n] := −d;
           for i := 1 step 1 until n−1 do
             begin f := i;
               A[i, n] := d × f;
               A[n, i] := A[i, n];
               A[i, i] := d × (c−f × f);
               for j := 1 step 1 until i−1 do
                 begin t := j;
                   A[i, j] := −d × f × t;
                   A[j, i] := A[i, j]
                 end
             end
end TESTMATRIX;
```

ALGORITHM 53
NTH ROOTS OF A COMPLEX NUMBER

JOHN R. HERNDON
Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, California

```
procedure  NTHROOT (n, r, u, REAL, UNREAL); value
           n, r, u; integer n;
           real r, u; real array REAL, UNREAL;
comment  This procedure computes the n roots of the equation
  xⁿ = r+ui. The real parts of the roots are stored in the vector
  REAL [ ]. The imaginary parts are stored in the corresponding
  locations in the vector UNREAL [ ];
begin      integer n1, n2; real en, th, s, th 1;
           REAL [n] := 0;
           en := 1/n;
           if u=0 then
             begin s := (abs(r)) ↑ en;
             th := 0,
             go to main end;
           if r=0 then
             begin s := (abs(u)) ↑ en;
             th := 1.5707963;
             if u < 0 then
               th := −th
             go to main end;
             s := (r × r+u × u) ↑ (en/2);
             th := arctan (u/r);
main:      if r < 0 then
             th := th + 3.1415926;
           th := en × th;
           th1 := 6.2831853 × en;
           for n2 := 1 step 1 until n do
             begin REAL [n2] := s × cos (th);
             UNREAL [n2] := s × sin (th);
             th = th+th 1 end
end NTHROOT;
```

ALGORITHM 54
GAMMA FUNCTION FOR RANGE 1 TO 2

JOHN R. HERNDON
Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, California

```
real procedure  Q(x); value x; real x,
comment  This procedure computes Γ(x) for 1 ≦ x ≦ 2. This is
  a reference procedure for the more general gamma function
  procedure. Γ(x) = Q(x−1);
begin      Q := (((((((0.035868343 × x − 0.19352782) × x
             + 0.48219939) × x − 0.75670408) × x
             + 0.91820686) × x − 0.89705694) × x
             + 0.98820589) × x − 0.57719165) × x + 1.0
end        Q;
```

ALGORITHM 55
COMPLETE ELLIPTIC INTEGRAL OF THE FIRST KIND

JOHN R. HERNDON
Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, California

```
real procedure  ELLIPTIC 1(k); value k; real k;
comment  This procedure computes the elliptic integral of the
  first kind K(k, π/2);
begin      real t;
           t := 1−k × k;
           ELLIPTIC 1 := (((0.032024666 × t +
             0.054555509) × t
           + 0.097932891) × t + 1.3862944)
           − (((0.010944912 × t + 0.060118519) × t
           + 0.12475074) × t + 0.5) × log (t)
end        ELLIPTIC 1;
```

ALGORITHM 56
COMPLETE ELLIPTIC INTEGRAL OF THE SECOND KIND

JOHN R. HERNDON
Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, California

```
real procedure  ELLIPTIC 2(k); value k; real k;
```

```
comment This procedure computes the elliptic integral of the
  second kind E(k, π/2);
begin          real t;
               t := 1 − k × k;
               ELLIPTIC 2 := (((0.040905094 × t +
                  0.085099193) × t
                + 0.44479204) × t + 1.0 − (((0.01382999 × t
                + 0.08150224) × t + 0.24969795) × t) × log (t)
end            ELLIPTIC 2;
```

ALGORITHM 57
BER OR BEI FUNCTION

JOHN R. HERNDON
Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, California

```
real procedure BERBEI (r, z); value r, z; real r, z;
comment This procedure computes ber(z) if r is set equal to
  zero. bei(z) is produced if r equals 1.0;
begin
               real s, k, c, f, t;
               if r = 0 then
                 s := 1
               else
                 s := (z × z)/4;
               k := s;
               f := z × z;
               f := f × f;
               for c := 2 step 2 until 100 do
                 begin
                   if s = s + k then
                     go to gate;
                   t := (c+r) × (c+r−1);
                   k := −0.0625 × k × f/(t × t);
                   s := s+k end;
gate: BERBEI := s
end BERBEI;
```

REMARK
ON FREQUENTLY OCCURRING ERRORS IN ALGOL-60 PROGRAMS

W. BÖRSCH-SUPAN
National Bureau of Standards, Washington 25, D. C.

There are some features in the syntax of ALGOL 60 which are often neglected by people writing algorithms. This fact may be due in part to the lack of redundance in the ALGOL 60 report, in part to some confusion with other languages like ALGOL 58 or FORTRAN. Therefore it may be worthwhile to mention these frequently occurring errors in order to avoid them in the future.

There is some confusion between specifications and declarations in procedures. Specifications are given for the formal parameters of a procedure, i.e. for the quantities that connect the procedure to the main program. Declarations are given for the variables local to the procedure body, i.e. for the subsidiary quantities not accessible to the main program. Specifications may be omitted in case of formal parameters called by name, but they may be helpful to the user of the procedure and to the compiler. Specifications of arrays must not contain information about the dimensionality and subscript bounds. Nevertheless this information often is needed by the user of the subroutine. Therefore it should be included in a comment, where a notation similar to declarations could be used.

When the delimiters "**end**" and ";" come together the following should be kept in mind: The ";" separates subsequent statements. The "**begin**" and "**end**" tie together a sequence of statements to form a compound statement. Therefore, as a rule, "**end**" or a string of several "**end**" must be followed by a ";", if another statement follows. In the string "; **end**" the ";" always can be dropped since it only introduces a dummy statement without label between ";" and "**end**".

An integer followed by a decimal point is no ALGOL-60 number. Write "1" or "1.0" instead of "1.".

According to the paragraph 2.3 of the ALGOL-60-report, the comment must not be given before the procedure heading. The reason is very formal: The report declares what "**comment**" preceded by ";" or "**begin**" means, but "**comment**" preceded by nothing is undefined.

There is no rule about what the comment of a procedure should include. But, I think that users of procedures would like writers of procedures to include all the information necessary to ensure a correct use of procedures without reading the procedure body.

Two other things may be helpful to the reader of algorithms: Using simple and multiple indentation in a systematic manner may clarify the nesting of statements quite a bit. In a similar way one may improve the readability by putting notes after the delimiter "**end**" which indicate the delimiter "**begin**" to which they belong.

CERTIFICATION OF ALGORITHM 3
SOLUTION OF POLYNOMIAL EQUATION BY BARSTOW-HITCHCOCK (A. A. Grau, *Comm. ACM* Feb. 1960)

JOHN HERNDON
Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, California

Bairstow was transliterated into BALGOL and tested on the Burroughs 220. The corrections supplied by Thatcher, *Comm. ACM*, June 1960, were incorporated. Results were correct for equations for which the method is suitable. $x^4 - 16 = 0$ is one of those which gave nonsensical results. Seven-digit results were obtained for 12 test equations, one of which was $x^6 - 2x^5 + 2x^4 + x^3 + 6x^2 - 6x + 8 = 0$.

CERTIFICATION OF ALGORITHM 10
CHEBYSCHEV POLYNOMIAL $T_n(x)$ (Galler, *Comm. ACM*, June, 1960)

JOHN HERNDON
Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, California

When transliterated into BALGOL and tested on the Burroughs 220, Ch(n, x) gave better than 7-digit accuracy for n = 0, 1, 4, 8 and x = .01, .2, .7. It gave answers when x > 1 which corresponded to the value of the series with x substituted.

CERTIFICATION OF ALGORITHM 13
LEGENDRE POLYNOMIAL $P_n(x)$ (Galler, *Comm. ACM*, June 1960)

JOHN HERNDON
Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, California

When transliterated into BALGOL and tested on the Burroughs 220, Le(n, x) gave 7-digit accuracy for n = 0, 1, 4, 9 and X = .01, .2, .7, 1.9, 5.0.

CERTIFICATION OF ALGORITHM 20
REAL EXPONENTIAL INTEGRAL (S. Peavy, *Comm. ACM*, Oct. 1960)

WILLIAM J. ALEXANDER* and HENRY C. THACHER, JR.*
Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois

Expint (x) was programmed for the LGP-30 computer, using both a 7S floating-point compiler (ACT III) and an 8S floating-point interpretive code (24.2). Constants given to more than 7S (or to 8S for the 24.2 program) were rounded to 7S (or 8S).

After changing the constant .005519968 to .05519968, both programs gave acceptable accuracy over the range tested.

The 8S (24.2) program was compared with the 9D values given for $-E_i(-x)$ in Mathematical Tables Project, *Tables of Sine, Cosine, and Exponential Integrals, Volume* II (1940) for the set of values x = 0.1(0.1)1.0(1.0)10.0. The largest discrepancy found was -16×10^{-8} for x = 0.1. For x greater than 1, all values tested were good to 8S.

For computing real values of the exponential integral, this algorithm is much faster than EKZ (Algorithm 13). For $x < 1$, the ratio of speeds was of the order of 20.

* Work supported by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

CERTIFICATION OF ALGORITHM 43
CROUT II (Henry C. Thacher, Jr., *Comm. ACM*, 1960)

HENRY C. THACHER, JR.*
Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois

CROUT II was coded by hand for the Royal Precision LGP-30 computer, using a 28-bit mantisa floating point interpretive system (24.2 modified).

The program was tested against the linear system:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 12.1719 & 27.3941 & 1.9827 & 7.3757 \\ 8.1163 & 23.3385 & 9.8397 & 4.9474 \\ 3.0706 & 13.5434 & 15.5973 & 7.5172 \\ 3.0581 & 3.1510 & 6.9841 & 13.1984 \end{pmatrix} \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 6.6355 \\ 6.1304 \\ 4.6921 \\ 2.5393 \end{pmatrix}$$

with the following results:

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} 12.171900 & 27.394100 & 1.9827000 & 7.3756999 \\ 0.25226957 & 6.6327021 & 15.097125 & 5.6565352 \\ 0.25124262 & -0.56260107 & 14.979620 & 14.527683 \\ 0.66680633 & 0.76468695 & -0.20207132 & -1.3606142 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$b' = \begin{pmatrix} 6.6354999 \\ 3.0181653 \\ 2.5702026 \\ -0.082780734 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{pivot} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} 0.15929120 \\ 0.14691771 \\ 0.11257482 \\ 0.060840712 \end{pmatrix}$$

det = −1645.4499. All elements of Ab − y were less than 10^{-7} in magnitude. Identical results were obtained with the same b, and repeat **true**. With the same b and the last row vector of A replaced by (19.1927, 33.4409, 25.1298, 5.2811), i.e. A 4, j = A 1 j, + 2A 2, j − 3A 3, j, the results were:

det = $0.10924352 \times 10^{-3}$,

y = (0.29214425×10^{8}, $-0.12131172 \times 10^{8}$, 0.72411923×10^{7}, $-0.51018392 \times 10^{7}$)

Failure to recognize this singular matrix is due to roundoff, either in the data input or in the calculation.

* Work supported by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

Standards

Further Survey of Punched Card Codes

H. McG. Ross, *Ferranti Ltd., London*

The valuable "Survey of Punched Card Codes" prepared by Smith and Williams (*Comm. ACM 3*, Dec. 1960, 638) unfortunately omits the card codes of European equipment, other than IBM. These are presented in the table on page 181. This information has been extracted from a Ferranti publication, "Collected Information on Punched Card Codes" (List CS 266) and has been set out in much the same way as the table by Smith and Williams.

A valuable step forward has been made by the British Standards Institution in publishing Standard 3174, "Alpha-Numeric Punching Codes for Data Processing Cards". As well as decimal numbers and letters, this Standard also gives single-column punching codes for pence, for shillings, for months, and for days within the month, etc.

In the table, the card rows are identified by 12, 11, 0, 1, ⋯ , 9, from top to bottom; it should be noted, however, that modern practice in Britain prefers the top row to be numbered 10. Where nothing is punched in a zone or position the symbol b̄ is used. The abbreviation Sp is used for the space obtained in a printer from an entirely blank column. Letter O is shown with a dot in it, to distinguish it from zero.

The table gives examples of the treatment of days, months, pence, etc., particularly when a single column is used, often with two holes in it. However, even within one type of code, 10 and 11 may be reversed.

The "old Hollerith" 4-zone code, which is widely used, is designed to "cycle" within the zones; this brings the letters into alphabetical order.

The asterisks refer to the Bull codes in which rows 7, 8, and 9 are used to punch the zones, in place of 12, 11, and 0 respectively. Another special optional feature is the "mechanical zero" punched in row 12; this is for left-hand zeros, and is treated as zero by the accounting machine but does not print.

→