

and from among sentences can be used for locating classes of common meaning at various levels of abstraction. The expression of individual words, pairs of words, and short strings in the multi-dimensional space can then be examined for semantic relevance.

REFERENCE:

BENNETT, E. M.; MAYER, R. P.; ET AL. COLLAD-I, a command language laboratory demonstration (preliminary concepts). The MITRE Corp., Technical Memorandum TM-3001, Mar. 1960.

**TARGETEER**

Computer Sciences Department, The RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, California

Reported by: F. M. Tonge (September 1960)

Descriptors: **computer application, programming, aircraft routing, dynamic programming**

This routine determines the highest expected target value routing of aircraft through a target complex. Routing is done by an iterative dynamic programming technique modified to recognize aircraft range restrictions and to prevent multiple visits by any aircraft to a single target.

Location, range and number of weapons of each aircraft, target values, and intertarget distances and survival probabilities are required as input data. The routine prints out the targeting of each aircraft on each iteration of the routing scheme and a final summary of aircraft and target statuses. The relative maximum numbers of aircraft, targets, and weapons can be varied by recompiling. This routine was developed as a research tool for evaluating routing techniques.

# Algorithms

ALGORITHM 58

MATRIX INVERSION

DONALD COHEN

Burroughs Corporation, Pasadena, Calif.

```

procedure invert (n) array: (a);
comment matrix inversion by Gauss-Jordan elimination;
  value n;
  array a; integer n;
begin
  array b, c [1:n]; integer i, j, k, l, p;
  integer array z [1:n];
  for j := 1 step 1 until n do z[j] := j;
  for i := 1 step 1 until n do begin
    k := i; y := a[i, i]; l := i - 1; p := i + 1;
    for j := p step 1 until n do begin
      w := a[i, j]; if abs(w) > abs(y) then begin
        k := j; y := w end end;
      for j := 1 step 1 until n do begin
        c[j] := a[j, k]; a[j, k] := a[j, i];
        a[j, i] := -c[j]/y; b[j] := a[i, j] := a[i, j]/y end ;
        a[i, i] := 1/y; j := z[i]; z[i] := z[k]; z[k] := j ;
        for k := 1 step 1 until l, p step 1 until n do
          for j := 1 step 1 until l, p step 1 until n do
            a[k, j] := a[k, i] - b[j] × c[k] end; l := 0 ;
          l := l + 1; k := z[l]; if l ≤ n then begin
            for j := l while k ≠ j do begin
              for i := 1 step 1 until n do begin
                w := a[j, i]; a[j, i] := a[k, i]; a[k, i] := w end ;
              go to back end
            end invert.

```

## Conference on Information Retrieval Oriented Languages

October 6, 1961  
RCA, Princeton, N. J.

By the Subcommittee on Information Retrieval of the ACM Special Interest Committee on Computer Languages

So far, no computer language designed especially for storage and retrieval applications has received widespread publicity. However, a number of languages have been developed for searching files, and languages have been designed for other specific problems. It would be interesting to know how many of these languages have been machine tested, and to know how many are being used. Are these languages similar to each other? If not, how do they differ? What are their specifications? What are the limitations? Probably, some of the 'blue sky' languages include 'things to come'.

The subcommittee needs all the information it can recover, discover and uncover about IR languages. If you have first-hand experience in designing, programming, testing or using a machine language for storage and retrieval problems, please contact one of the subcommittee members:

HERB KOLLER, R & D Group, U. S. Patent Office,  
Washington 25, D. C.

JACK MINKER, Astro-Electronic Products Division,  
RCA, Princeton, New Jersey

MANDY GREMS, IBM Corp., White Plains, New York

ALGORITHM 59

ZEROS OF A REAL POLYNOMIAL BY RESULTANT  
PROCEDURE

E. H. BAREISS and M. A. FISHERKELLER

Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Ill.

```

procedure RES (n, c, alpha, mu, re, im, rt, gc) ; value n,
  c, alpha ; integer n, alpha ; integer array
  mu ; array c, re, im, rt, gc ;
comment RES finds simultaneously all zeros of a polynomial of
  degree n with real coefficients, cj (j = 0, ... n), where cn
  is the constant term. The real part, rei, and imaginary part,
  imi, of each zero, with corresponding multiplicity, mui, and
  remainder term, rti, (i = 1, ... , n), are found and a poly-
  nomial with coefficients gcj (j = 0, ... , n), is generated from
  these zeros. Alpha provides an option for local or nonlocal
  selection of M, the number of root-squaring iterations, and
  delta and epsilon, acceptance criteria. If alpha = 1, these
  parameters are assigned locally. If alpha = 2, M, delta and
  epsilon are set equal to the global parameters Mp, deltap,
  and epsilonp, respectively. In cases where zeros may be found

```

```

more than once, the superfluous ones are eliminated by factorization. The method has been described by E. H. Bareiss (J. ACM 7, Oct. 1960, pp. 346-386). ;
begin integer M ; real delta, epsilon ; switch U := U1, U2 ;
go to U [alpha];
U1:      M := 10 ; delta := 0.2 ; epsilon := 10-8 ;
         go to START ;
U2:      M := Mp ; delta := deltap ; epsilon := epsilonp ;
START:   begin integer CT, nu, nuc, beta, m, j, je, k, i, p ; Boolean ROOT ;
         real X, Y, GX, rp ; array a, ac [0:n, 0:M], R, Re, t [0:n],
         s [-1:n], ag [-2:n], rh, q, G, F [1:2×n] ;
         switch S := S1, S2 ; switch T := T1, T2 ;
         switch V := V1, V2 ;
         real procedure min (u, v) ; real u, v ;
         min := if u ≤ v then u else v ;
         real procedure SYND (W, Q, I, T) ;
         integer I ; real W, Q ;
         array T ;
SYNTHETIC DIV:   begin s [-1] := 0 ; s [0] := T [0] ; for m := 1 step 1 until I do
                 s [m] := T [m] - W*s [m - 1] - Q×s [m - 2] ;
                 if Q = 0 then SYND := abs (s[I]) else
                 SYND := abs (W/2×s [I - 1] + s[I])
                 end SYND ;
                 CT := beta := 1 ; for j := 0 step 1 until n do a [j, 0] := e[j] ;
SQUARING OPERATION: begin integer e1 ; real h ; for m := 1 step 1 until M do
                 begin for j := 1 step 1 until n do
                 begin h := 0 ; for e1 := 1 step 1 until min (n - j, j) do
                 h := h + (-1) ↑ e1 × a [j - e1, m - 1] × a [j + e1, m - 1] ;
                 a [j, m] := (-1) ↑ j × (a [j, m - 1] ↑ 2 + 2×h) end end end ;
                 for j := 0 step 1 until n do R [j] := (-1) ↑ j × a [j, M - 1] ↑ 2/a [j, M] ;
                 j := 0 ; nu := 1 ;
RD:      if (1 - delta ≤ R [j]) ∧ (R [j] ≤ 1 + delta) then
                 begin rp := (a [j, M]/a [j - nu, M]) ↑ (1/2 ↑ M×nu) ;
                 go to T [beta] end ;
1:      nu := nu + 1 ;
2:      j := j + 1 ; if j = n then go to S [beta] else go to RD ;
3:      nu := 1 ; go to 2 ;
T1:     rh [CT] := rp ; X := rp + epsilon × rp ;
         Y := X + epsilon × rp ;
         for k := 0 step 1 until n do t [k] := abs (c[k]) ;
         F [CT] := SYND (Y, 0, n, t) - SYND (X, 0, n, t) ;
         G [CT] := SYND (rh [CT], 0, n, e) ; if F [CT] > G [CT] then
                 begin ROOT := true ; q [CT] := 0 ;
                 CT := CT + 1 ; F [CT] := F [CT - 1] end ;
                 rh [CT] := -rp ; G [CT] := SYND (rh [CT], 0, n, e) ;
                 if F [CT] > G [CT] then begin ROOT := true ; q [CT] := 0 ; CT := CT + 1 ;
                 F [CT] := F [CT - 1] end ; if nu = 1 then go to 2 ;
                 q [CT] := rp ↑ 2 ; nuc := nu ; je := j ;
         for j := 0 step 1 until n do
                 begin Re [j] := R [j] ; ac [j, M] := a [j, M]
                 end ;
RESULTANT: begin real h ; array b [-1:n + 1, -1:n + 1], A [1:n],
         r [0:n, 0:n], CB [-1:n + 1] ;
         b [-1, 0] := CB [-1] := CB [n + 1] := 0 ;
         for j := 0 step 1 until n do
                 CB [j] := e[j] ; b [0, 0] := 1 ; for k := 1 step 1 until n do
                 begin b [k, -1] := 0 ; for j := 0 step 1 until k do
                 b [k + 1, j] := b [k, j - 1] - q [CT] × b [k - 1, j] ;
                 b [k + 1, k + 1] := h := 0 ; for j := n - k step -1 until 0 do
                 h := h + (CB [j] × CB [k + j] - CB [j - 1] × CB [k + j + 1]) × q [CT] ↑ (n - k - j) ;
                 A [k] := (-1) ↑ k × h ; for j := 0 step 1 until k - 1 do
                 begin r [0, j] := 0 ; r [k, j] := r [k - 1, j] + A [k] × b [k, j] end ;
                 r [k, k] := A [k] end ; beta := 2 ; for j := 0 step 1 until n do
                 a [j, 0] := r [n, j] end ; go to SQUARING OPERATION ;
T2:     if (rp/2) ↑ 2 ≥ q [CT] then go to 3 ; rh [CT] := rp ;
         G [CT] := SYND (rh [CT], q [CT], n, e) ;
         if F [CT] > G [CT] then
                 begin CT := CT + 1 ; F [CT] := F [CT - 1] ; q [CT] := q [CT - 1] end ;
                 rh [CT] := -rp ; G [CT] := SYND (rh [CT], q [CT], n, e) ;
                 if F [CT] > G [CT] then begin CT := CT + 1 ; F [CT] := F [CT - 1] ;
                 q [CT] := q [CT - 1] end ; go to 3 ;
S2:     for j := 0 step 1 until n do begin a [j, M] := ac [j, M] ;
         R [j] := Re [j] end ; j := je ; beta := 1 ;
         if ROOT then go to 3 else
                 nu := nuc ; go to 1 ;
S1:     ag [-2] := ag [-1] := 0 ; ag [0] := 1 ;
         for j := 1 step 1 until n do
                 ag [j] := 0 ; k := 1 ; i := n ; m := 1 ;
                 for j := 0 step 1 until n do
                 t [j] := e [j] ;
MULT:   mu [m] := 0 ; p := if q [k] = 0 then 1 else 2 ;
IT:     GX := SYND (rh [k], q [k], i, t) ; if F [k] > GX then
                 begin for j := 1 step 1 until n do
                 ag [j] := ag [j] - rh [k] × ag [j - 1] + q [k] × ag [j - 2] ;
                 mu [m] := mu [m] + p ; i := i - p ;
                 for j := 0 step 1 until i do
                 t [j] := s [j] ; go to IT end else if mu [m] ≠ 0 then begin
                 rt [m] := G [k] ; go to V [p] end else go to D ;
V1:     re [m] := rh [k] ; im [m] := 0 ; go to E ;
V2:     re [m] := rh [k]/2 ; im [m] := sqrt (q [k] - re [m] ↑ 2) ;
         m := m + 1 ;
         k := k + 1 ; if k ≤ CT ∧ m ≤ n then go to MULT ;
         for j := 0 step 1 until n do ge [j] := ag [j] end
         end RES

```

Contributions to this department must be in the form stated in the Algorithms Department policy statement (*Communications*, February, 1960) except that ALGOL 60 notation should be used (see *Communications*, May, 1960). Contributions should be sent in duplicate to J. H. Wegstein, Computation Laboratory, National Bureau of Standards, Washington 25, D. C. Algorithms should be in the Publication form of ALGOL 60 and written in a style patterned after the most recent algorithms appearing in this department.

Although each algorithm has been tested by its contributor, no warranty, express or implied, is made by the contributor, the editor, or the Association for Computing Machinery as to the accuracy and functioning of the algorithm and related algorithm material and no responsibility is assumed by the contributor, the editor, or the Association for Computing Machinery in connection therewith.

The reproduction of algorithms appearing in this department is explicitly permitted without any charge. When reproduction is for publication purposes, reference must be made to the algorithm author and to the *Communications* issue bearing the algorithm.

#### CERTIFICATION OF ALGORITHM 23

MATHSORT (Wallace Feurzeig, *Comm. ACM*, Nov., 1960)

RUSSELL W. RANSHAW

University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pa.

The MATHSORT procedure as published was coded for the IBM 7070 in FORTRAN. Two deficiencies were discovered:

1. The TOTVEC array was not zeroed within the procedure. This led to some difficulties in repeated use of the procedure.

2. Input vectors already in sort on nonsort fields were unsorted. That is, given the sequence

31, 21, 32, 22, 33,

Mathsort would produce, for a sort on the 10's digit:

22, 21, 33, 32, 31,

which is definitely out of sequence.

The following modified form of the procedure corrects these difficulties. Note the transformation of symbols.

```

procedure MATHSORT (I, O, T, n, k, S); value n, k;
array I, O; integer array T; integer procedure S;
integer n, k;
begin
  for i := 0 step 1 until k - 1 do T[i] := 0;
  for i := 1 step 1 until n do T[S(I[i])] := T[S(I[i])] + 1;
  for i := k - 2 step -1 until 0 do T[i] := T[i] +
    T[i + 1];
  for i := 1 step 1 until n do
    begin
      O[n + 1 - T[S(I[i])]] := I[i];
      T[S(I[i])] := T[S(I[i])] - 1;
    end
end MATHSORT.

```

Using the MATHSORT procedure ten times and having the procedure S supply each digit in order, 1000 random numbers of 10 digits each were sorted into sequence in 31 seconds. The method of locating the lowest element, interchanging with the first element, and continuing until the entire list has been so examined yielded a complete sort on the same 1000 random numbers in 227 seconds. Using the Table-Lookup-Lowest command in the 7070 yielded 56 seconds for the same set of random numbers.

#### CERTIFICATION OF ALGORITHM 30 NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF THE POLYNOMIAL EQUATION (K. W. Ellenberger, *Comm. ACM*, Dec. 1960)

WILLIAM J. ALEXANDER

Argonne National Laboratory,\* Argonne, Ill.

ROOTPOL was coded by hand for the LGP-30 using the ACT-III Compiler with 24 bits of significance. The following corrections were found necessary.

- (a)  $b_{-1} := b_{-2} := c_{-1} := c_{-2} := d_{-1} := d_{-2} := e_{-1} := e_{-2} := 0$   
should be  
 $b_{-1} := b_{-2} := c_{-1} := c_{-2} := d_{-1} := e_{-1} := h_{-1} := 0$
- (b)  $m := \text{entier}((n+1)/2)$  should be  
 $m := \text{entier}((n-1)/2)$
- (c)  $j_{n-j} := s$  should be  $h_{n-j} := s$
- (d)  $q := h/h_{n-2}$  should be  $h_n/h_{n-2}$
- (e)  $c_j := b_j - p \times c_{j-1} - q \times c_{j-2}$  should be  
 $c_j := b_j - p \times c_{j-1} - q \times c_{j-2}$
- (f) **if**  $h_{n-1} = 0$  **then go to** BNTEST should be  
**if**  $h_{n-1} = 0$  **then go to** BNTEST
- (g)  $s := \text{sqrt}(q - (p/2)^3)$  should be  
 $s := \text{sqrt}(q - (p/2)^2)$
- (h) **for**  $j := 0$  **step 2 until**  $n$  **do**  $h_j := b_j$  should be  
**for**  $j := 0$  **step 1 until**  $n$  **do**  $h_j := b_j$
- (i) **go to** BAIRSTOW should be **go to** ITERATE

The following correction was found necessary in the given example (Refer to "On Programming the Numerical Solution of Polynomial Equations," by K. W. Ellenberger, *Comm. ACM* 3, Dec., 1960):

$$f(x) = (.10098) 10^8 x^4 - (.98913) 10^6 x^2 + (.10000) 10^6 x + (.10000) 10^1 = 0 \text{ should be}$$

$$f(x) = (.10098) 10^8 x^4 - (.98913) 10^6 x^3 - (.10990) 10^6 x^2 + (.10000) 10^6 x + (.10000) 10^1 = 0$$

With these corrections the results obtained agree with those given in the example.

For equations of higher order it was found necessary to avoid repeated scaling of the reduced equation in order to prevent floating point overflow. The range on the exponent in the ACT III system is  $-32 \leq e \leq 31$ .

Further floating point overflow difficulties were experienced when certain coefficients in the reduced equation became small but not zero. The following additions were made to avoid this fault:

- (a) **for**  $j := 0$  **step 1 until**  $n$  **do**  $h_j := d_j$  was replaced by  
**for**  $j := 0$  **step 1 until**  $n$  **do begin if**  $\text{abs}(h_j/d_j) < K$  **then**  
 $h_j := d_j$  **else**  $h_j := 0$  **end**
- (b) **for**  $j := 0$  **step 1 until**  $n$  **do**  $h_j := b_j$  was replaced by  
**for**  $j := 0$  **step 1 until**  $n$  **do begin if**  $\text{abs}(h_j/b_j) < K$  **then**  
 $h_j := b_j$  **else**  $h_j := 0$  **end**

With the above changes the following results were obtained:

$$x^4 - 3x^3 + 20x^2 + 44x + 54 = 0$$

$$x = -.9706390 \pm 1.005808i$$

$$x = 2.470639 \pm 4.640533i$$

$$x^6 - 2x^5 + 2x^4 + x^3 + 6x^2 - 6x + 8 = 0$$

$$x = -.9999999 \pm .9999999i$$

$$x = 1.500000 \pm 1.322876i$$

$$x = .5000002 \pm .8660251i$$

$$x^5 + x^4 - 8x^3 - 16x^2 + 7x + 15 = 0$$

$$x = 3.000001$$

$$x = -2.000000 \pm 1.000001i$$

$$x = -.9999997$$

$$x = .9999998$$

\* Work supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission