```
begin
      y1 := y;
      derivy1 := derivy;
      go to zerostep
    end shortcut
    else
    begin
      tor[0] := y;
      tor[1] := h \times derivy;
      square := h \times h;
      tor[2] := 0.5 \times square \times x \times tor[0];
      y1 := tor[0] + tor[1] + tor[2];
      derivy1 := tor[1] + 2 \times tor[2];
      for n := 3 step 1 until 10 do
      begin
         tor[n] := square \times (x \times tor[n-2] + h \times tor[n-3]) / 
           ((n-1)\times n);
         y1 := y1 + tor[n];
         derivy1 := derivy1 + n \times tor[n]
       end;
      derivy1 := derivy1/h
    end calculation of coefficients in series expansion;
zerostep:
end Taylor;
  pi := 3.14159 \ 26536;
  if control < 0 then
  begin
    Bitab[0] := 0.61492 66274;
    Bidtab[0] := 0.4482883574;
    Aitab[33] := 2.15659 99525_{10} - 6;
    Aidtab[33] := -5.61931\ 9442_{10} - 6;
    xtab := 0;
    for n := 0 step 1 until 32 do
    begin
       Taylor(Bi, Bid, xtab, 0.1, Bitab[n], Bidtab[n]);
       Taylor(Bitab[n+1], Bidtab[n+1], xtab+0.1, 0.1, Bi, Bid);
       Taylor(Bi, Bid, -xtab, -0.1, Bitab[-n], Bidtab[-n]);
       Taylor(Bitab[-n-1], Bidtab[-n-1], -xtab-0.1, -0.1, Bi,
        Bid);
      xtab := xtab + 0.2
    end setting up Bi tables;
    \mathbf{for}\ n := 33\ \mathbf{step}\ -1\ \mathbf{until}\ -32\ \mathbf{do}
    begin
       Taylor(Ai, Aid, xtab, -0.1, Aitab[n], Aidtab[n]);
       Taylor(Aitab[n-1], Aidtab[n-1], xtab-0.1, -0.1, Ai, Aid);
      xtab := xtab - 0.2
    end setting Ai tables
 end;
 if abs(x) \le 6.6 then
 begin
    j := 5 \times x;
    xtab := j/5;
    h := x - xtab;
    scale := exp(-xia);
    Taylor(Ai, Aid, xtab, h, Aitab[j], Aidtab[j]);
    Taylor(Bi, Bid, xtab, h, Bitab[j], Bidtab[j]);
    Ai := Ai/scale;
    Aid := Aid/scale;
    Bi := Bi \times scale;
    Bid := Bid \times scale;
    go to finish
  end interpolation in previously established table;
  rtmdx := sqrt(abs(x));
 xi := rtmdx \uparrow 3/1.5;
 factor := 1/(12 \times xi);
  A[0] := 1/sqrt(pi \times rtmdx);
  r := 6;
  for n := 0 step 1 until 9 do
```

```
begin
     A[n+1] := (r-1) \times (r-5) \times factor \times A[n]/r;
     r := r + 6
  end calculation of asymptotic series coefficients:
  if x < 0 then go to neg;
  p := A[0] + A[2] + A[4] + A[6] + A[8] + A[10];
  q := A[1] + A[3] + A[5] + A[7] + A[9];
  scale := exp(xi-xia);
  Ai := (p-q)/(2 \times scale);
  Bi := (p+q) \times scale;
  go to continue;
neq:
  p := A[0] - A[2] + A[4] - A[6] + A[8] - A[10];
  q := A[1] - A[3] + A[5] - A[7] + A[9];
  s := sin (xi + pi/4);
  c := cos(xi + pi/4);
  scale := exp(-xia);
  Ai := (p \times s - q \times c)/scale;
  Bi := (p \times c + q \times s) \times scale;
continue:
  if control = 0 then go to finish
  else if x < 0 then
  begin
     p := -(rtmdx/xi) \times
       (-2 \times A[2] + 4 \times A[4] - 6 \times A[6] + 8 \times A[8] - 10 \times A[10]);
     q := -(rtmdx/xi) \times
       (A[1]-3\times A[3]+5\times A[5]-7\times A[7]+9\times A[9]);
     Aid := -(rtmdx \times Bi)/(scale \times scale) - Ai/(4 \times x)
        -(p\times s-q\times c)/scale;
     Bid := rtmdx \times Ai \times scale \times scale - Bi/(4 \times x)
        -(p\times c+q\times s)\times scale;
     go to finish
  end calculation of derivatives;
  p := (rtmdx/xi) \times
       (2 \times A[2] + 4 \times A[4] + 6 \times A[6] + 8 \times A[8] + 10 \times A[10]);
  q := -(rtmdx/xi) \times
       (A[1]+3\times A[3]+5\times A[5]+7\times A[7]+9\times A[9]);
   Aid := (p-q)/(2 \times scale) - Ai \times (rtmdx+1/(4 \times x));
  Bid := (p+q) \times scale + Bi \times (rtmdx-1/(4\times x));
finish:
end Airy
```

## ALGORITHM 302

TRANSPOSE VECTOR STORED ARRAY [K2]

J. BOOTHROYD (Reed. 12 Sept. 1966, 28 Nov. 1966, and 6 Feb. 1967)

U. of Tasmania, Hobart, Tas., Australia

procedure transpose (a, m, n); value m, n; integer m, n; array a, comment performs an in-situ transposition of an  $m \times n$  array A[1:m, 1:n] stored by rows in the vector  $a[1:m \times n]$ . The method is essentially that of Windley [1], modified for use with vectors having unit lower subscript bounds.

The algorithm processes only elements A[1, 2] through A[m, n-1] since A[1, 1] and A[m, n] retain their original positions. Elements A[q, p] of the transposed matrix are placed in a[i], in the order  $i=2,3,\cdots,mn-2$ , by an exchanging process. At the last step two elements are correctly placed which accounts for the value mn-2 as the upper bound on i. Valid subscripts of the vector  $a[1:m\times n]$  are elements in the 1-origin index set  $[1,2,\cdots,mn]$ . Computationally, however, it is more convenient to use the zero-origin set  $[0,1,\cdots,mn-1]$ . Denoting by  $i_0$  ( $i_0=i-1$ ) the corresponding zero-origin index of a[i], to be occupied by A[q,p], we have i=m(q-1)+(p-1).

The corresponding zero-origin index  $j_0$  of the A[p, q] element now in a[j], which must be transferred to a[i], is:

$$j_0 = j - 1 = n(p-1) + (q-1) = n \times i_0 \mod(mn-1).$$

For each value of  $i=2, 3, \cdots, mn-2$  (or  $i_0=1, 2, \cdots, mn-3$ ) we compute the index j of a[j] and exchange a[i] and a[j] provided  $j \ge i$  (i.e.,  $j_0 \ge i_0$ ). The case j < i indicates that the element originally in a[j] is now elsewhere following previous exchanges. Its present position is given by the first  $j_r \ge i_0$  in the series of zero-origin indices:

$$j_0, j_{r+1} = n \times j_r \mod(mn-1).$$

The two sequences modulo (mn-1) are generated by different methods. An additive process generates the first, using k to duplicate the function of j, in case this is adjusted in the second recurrence-generated sequence if j < i.

Unlike the similar problem [3], transposition does not appear to be completely soluble on wholly group-theoretic lines. A general discussion of transposition and a reference to its formulation as a problem in Abelian-Groups is given in [2].

[1] P. F. Windley, Transposing matrices in a digital computer. Comp. J. 2 (1959), 47-48. [2] G. A. Heuer, Control Data Technical Report T.R.53, pp. 3-5. [3] Fletcher, W., and Silver, R. Algorithm 284. Comm. ACM 9 (May 1966), 326;

**begin integer** i, j, k, iless1, mnless1, done, jn, modlessn;real t;  $mnless 1 := m \times n - 1; \quad modless n := mnless 1 - n;$ done := mnless1 - 1; k := 0; iless1 := 1;for i := 2 step 1 until done do **begin comment** computes  $j = k = n \times i_0 \mod(mn-1)$ ;  $j := k := if k \le modlessn then k + n else k - modlessn;$ test: if j < iless1 then **begin comment** computes  $j_{r+1} = n \times j_r \mod(mn-1)$ ;  $jn := j \times n;$  $j := jn - jn \div mnless1 \times mnless1;$ go to test end; comment avoid unnecessary exchanges; if  $j \neq iless1$  then **begin** j := j + 1; t := a[i]; a[i] := a[j]; a[j] := tend; iless1 := iend end transpose

# REMARK ON ALGORITHM 28 [E2] LEAST SQUARES FIT BY ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS [John G. MacKinney, Comm. ACM 3 (Nov. 1960), 604]

G. J. Makinson (Recd. 30 Sept. 1965, 29 Aug. 1966 and 7 Nov. 1966)

University of Liverpool, Liverpool 3, England

There are three errors in the published procedure.

```
Line 32 i := m + 2; should read i := m \div 2;
Line 56 delsq/(m-i-1); should read delsq/(m-i-2);
Line 69 ; is missing from end of statement cpoly[i+1] := s[i+1];
```

Three improvements can be made to the procedure. In the case of equally spaced points, it is possible to center them about the origin; all alphas are then zero. This is achieved by replacing the statements on lines 32, 33, and 34 by deltax := 4/(m-1); xone := -2; All statements involving alphas can then be revised.

Another improvement can be made by deleting the two statements on line 37 and all of lines 38, 39, and 40. These statements are completely redundant.

The third improvement is to rewrite line 71 to read

$$clastp[i+1] := 0; 9:$$
 end of main

instead of

9: clastp[i+1] := 0 end of main

CERTIFICATION OF ALGORITHM 30 [C2] NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF THE POLYNOMIAL EQUATION [K. W. ELLENBERGER, Comm. ACM 3 (Dec. 1960), 643]

John J. Kohfeld (Recd. 31 Aug. 1964, 18 Nov. 1964 and 10 Nov. 1966)

Computing Center, United Technology Center, Sunny-vale, Calif. 94088

The ROOTPOL procedure was found to use the identifiers p, q, without declaring them. They should be declared real.

The first Algor statement in Cohen's Certification [Comm.  $ACM \ \delta$  (Jan. 1962), 50] which reads:

```
if h_j \neq 0 then s := ln \ (abs(h_j))
should read:
if h_j \neq 0 then s := ln \ (abs(h_j)) + s.
```

The next line could be simplified to read:

end; 
$$s := exp(s/(n+1));$$

The above corrections, as well as Algorithm 30 itself, are in publication language Algol. In order to translate the algorithm to reference language Algol, which is now used in CACM,  $10^F$  would need to be replaced by  $10 \uparrow F$ , and  $h_j$  would need to be replaced by h [j].

With these corrections and those contained in Alexander's Certification [Comm. ACM 4 (May 1961), 238], Ellenberger's Algorithm was adapted to B-5000 Algol and successfully executed on the Burroughs B-5000 computer at United Technology Center. The results from the four examples used by Alexander are given below.

### Example 1

```
(1.0098)10^{7}x^{4} - (9.8913)10^{5}x^{3} - (1.0990)10^{5}x^{2} + 10^{5}x + 1 = 0. The roots are: x = -0.201080185406 x = 0.149521622653 \pm 0.163989609283i x = (-9.99989011230)10^{-6}.
```

### Example 2

```
x^4 - 3x^3 + 20x^2 + 44x + 54 = 0

x = 2.47063897001 \pm 4.64053316164i

x = -0.970638970010 \pm 1.00580758903i
```

#### Example 3

```
\begin{array}{l} x^{8}-2x^{5}+2x^{4}+x^{3}+6x^{2}-6x+8=0\\ x=-0.999999999990\pm 1.00000000000i\\ x=1.5000000000000\pm 1.32287565553i\\ x=0.5000000000000\pm 0.866025403780i \end{array}
```

#### Example 4

```
\begin{array}{l} x^5 + x^4 - 8x^3 - 16x^2 + 7x + 15 = 0 \\ x = 3.00000000000 \\ x = -2.00000000000 \pm 1.0000000003i \\ x = -0.9999999999 \\ x = 1.000000000000 \end{array}
```

These results agree substantially with those given in Alexander's Certification.

CERTIFICATION OF ALGORITHM 279 [D1]
CHEBYSHEV QUADRATURE [F. R. A. Hopgood and
C. Litherland, Comm. ACM 9, 4 (Apr. 1966), 270]

Kenneth Hillstrom (Recd. 16 Dec. 1966 and 30 Jan. 1967)

Applied Mathematics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois

Work performed under the auspices of the US Atomic Energy Commission

The 40th line of the first column on page 270 should read:  $badda := .5 \times (b+a)$ ;

So corrected, Chebyshev quadrature was coded in CDC 3600 Algol. A modified version of this quadrature scheme was coded in 3600 Compass language. In this modification the cosine values are program constants, with 3600 single-precision accuracy, as opposed to program generated values, which tests show have maximum absolute errors of  $2^{-35}$ . These errors are carried into the integrand argument evaluation, resulting in large relative errors in the integrand evaluation, for functions bounded by unity over the interval of integration, for example,  $e^{-x^2}$  over (0, 4.3) and  $\sin(x)$  over  $(0, 2\pi)$ , which in turn delays convergence.

Since 3600 Compass does not permit dynamic allocation of storage, the dimension of the cosine array must be fixed. The choice of  $129 = 2^7 + 1$  terms is based on the recommendation in the comments of Algorithm 279, "A reasonable value for nmax is probably 7."

The Chebyshev quadrature 3600 Algol program, the modified 3600 Compass routine, and 3600 Fortran-coded Romberg and Havie integration routines were tested with six integrands. The

TABLE I							
Integrand	Л	В	EPS	VI	Routine	VA	Num- ber of func- tion evalu- ations
$e^{-x^2}$	0	4.3	10~6	0.886226924	Havie Romberg Chebyshev Chebyshev (Rev.)	0,886226924 0,886226925 0,886095576 0,886226926	17 65 129 17
$\sin(x) + 1$	0	2π	10-6	6.283185308	Havie Romberg Chebyshev Chebyshev (Rev.)	6.268233308 6.268233309 6.282993876 6.283185309	129 129 129 129 5
$(x)^{-(1/2)} \ln \left(\frac{e}{x}\right)$	0	1	10-6	6.0	Havie Romberg Chebyshev Chebyshev (Rev.)	5.034254231 5.034254231 5.829597734 5.701177427	129 129 129 129
ln (x)	1	10	10-6	14.02585088	Havie Romberg Chebyshev Chebyshev (Rev.)	14.02585084 14.02585085 14.02585096 14.02585097	65 65 17 17
$\ln \left(\frac{e}{x}\right)$	0	1	10-6	2.0	Havie Romberg Chebyshev Chebyshev (Rev.)	1.979745104 1.979745104 1.999599461 1.997983436	129 129 129 129 129
$\frac{1}{(x^4 + x^2 + 0.9)}$	-1	1	10-6	1.5822329ª	Havie Romberg Chebyshev Chebyshev (Rev.)	1.582238946 1.582238946 1.582232967 1.582232967	17 17 17 17

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The value  $\int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{dx}{(x^4 + x^2 + 0.9)} = 1.5822329$  is obtained from C. W. Clenshaw and

A. R. Curtis, "A method for numerical integration on an automatic computer," Numer. Math. 2 (1960), 203.

Romberg and Havie routines are based upon Algorithm 60, Romberg Integration [Comm. ACM 4, (June 1961), 225], and Algorithm 257, Havie Integration [Comm. ACM 8 (June 1965), 381].

The results of these tests are tabulated in Table I. In the table, A is the lower limit of the interval of integration, B is the upper limit, EPS the convergence criterion, VI the value of the integral, and VA the value of the approximation.

Due to storage requirements, Chebyshev quadrature is restricted to a maximum of 129 function evaluations. For reasons of comparison, this limit is also imposed on Romberg and Havie quadratures. Thus, in some cases the accuracy called for was not obtained.

### Algorithms Policy · Revised August, 1966

A contribution to the Algorithms Department should be in the form of an algorithm, a certification, or a remark. Contributions should be sent in duplicate to the editor, typewritten double spaced. Authors should carefully follow the style of this department with especial attention to indentation and completeness of references.

An algorithm must normally be written in the ALGOL 60 Reference Language [Comm. ACM 6 (Jan. 1963), 1-17] or in ASA Standard FORTRAN or Basic FORTRAN [Comm. ACM 7 (Oct. 1964), 590-625]. Consideration will be given to algorithms written in other languages provided the language has been fully documented in the open literature and provided the author presents convincing arguments that his algorithm is best described in the chosen language and cannot be adequately described in either ALGOL 60 or FORTRAN.

An algorithm written in ALGOL 60 normally consists of a commented procedure declaration. It should be typewritten double spaced in capital and lower-case letters. Material to appear in boldface type should be underlined in black. Blue underlining may be used to indicate italic type, but this is usually best left to the Editor. An algorithm written in FORTRAN normally consists of a commented subprogram. It should be typewritten double spaced in the form normally used for FORTRAN or it should be in the form of a listing of a FORTRAN card deck together with a copy of the card deck. Each algorithm must be accompanied by a complete driver program in its language which generates test data, calls the procedure, and produces test answers. Moreover, selected previously obtained test answers should be given in comments in either the driver program or the algorithm. The driver program may be publishedwith the algorithm if it would be of major assistance to a user.

For ALGOL 60 programs, input and output should be achieved by procedure statements, using any of the following eleven procedures (whose body is not specified in ALGOL) [See "Report on Input-Output Procedures for ALGOL 60," Comm. ACM 7 (Oct. 1964), 628-629]:

insymbol inreal outarray ininteger outsymbol outreal outboolean outinteger length inarray outstring

If only one channel is used by the program for output, it should be designated by 1 and similarly a single input channel should be designated by 2. Examples:

outstring (1, 'x='); outreal (1,x); for i := 1 step 1 until n do outreal (1,A[i]); ininteger (2, digit [17]):

For FORTRAN programs, input and output should be achieved as described in the ASA preliminary report on FORTRAN and Basic FORTRAN.

It is intended that each published algorithm be well organized, clearly commented, syntactically correct, and a substantial contribution to the literature of Algorithms. It is necessary but not sufficient that a published algorithm operate on some machine and give correct answers. It must also communicate a method to the reader in a clear and unambiguous manner. All contributions will be refereed both by human beings and by an appropriate compiler. Authors should pay considerable attention to the correctness of their programs, since referees cannot be expected to debug them.

Certifications and remarks should add new information to that already published. Readers are especially encouraged to test and certify previously uncertified algorithms. Rewritten versions of previously published algorithms will be refereed as new contributions and should not be imbedded in certifications or remarks.

Galley proofs will be sent to authors; obviously rapid and careful proofreading is of paramount importance.

Although each algorithm has been tested by its author, no liability is assumed by the contributor, the editor, or the Association for Computing Machinery in connection therewith.

The reproduction of algorithms appearing in this department is explicitly permitted without any charge. When reproduction is for publication purposes, reference must be made to the algorithm author and to the Communications issue bearing the algorithm.—J.G.Herriot